

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS
and
ACTION TAKEN REPORT
2017-18



1. Feedback from Students [ISE Department] : 2017-18

SL.No	Feedback	Action Taken
1	More practical training sessions are desired by the students	Teachers paid more attention to practical sessions and made their teaching more experiential.
2	Notes, Question Bank and University QP for each subject to be shared for better preparation for examinations	After discussion with the faculty softcopy of all the requested materials were prepared and put in a CD as E-materials.

2. Feedback from Teachers [ISE Department] : 2017-18

SL.No	Feedback	Action Taken
1	Suggested that syllabi should be need based.	Requests were considered and letter was sent to the BOS for relevant action to be taken.
2	Freshers faculty requested for induction program.	Request was put forward to the management and the action was implemented.

3. Feedback from Employers [ISE Department] : 2017-18

SL.No	Feedback	Action Taken
1	Suggestion to enhance general communication skills	Faculty asked students to conduct presentations on certain topics etc to improve their communication skills and also placement training was given.

4. Feedback from Alumni [ISE Department] : 2017-18


SL.No	Feedback	Action Taken
1	More exposure to field visits in curriculum	Teachers paid more attention to have more field visits and thus gave exposure to students for field visits.
2	Evidence based practical demonstration	Faculty conducted practical sessions for few theory subjects for better understanding of topics.

STUDENT FEEDBACK

A. Academic


Content of syllabi of the course

Content of syllabi of the course	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
% Response	12.3	25.5	42.3	16.4	6.0



Extent of syllabi covered in the class


Extent of syllabi covered in the class	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
% Response	10.6	19.5	39.6	24.3	3.5






3. Delivery of syllabi in the class

Delivery of syllabi in the class	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
% Response	11.5	21.2	36.4	23.8	7.1




Student teacher interaction

Student teacher interaction	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
% Response	19	19.8	34.1	18.6	8.5



4. Internet facility


Internet facility	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
% Response	8.9	9.6	24.9	27.6	28.9






Teachers Feedback
Curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation
Syllabus is suitable to the need based

Syllabus is suitable to the need based	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
% Response	44.4	48.9	0	0	6.7




Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials

Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
% Response	35.6	60	4.4	0	0




Sufficient resource material available in the library

Sufficient resource material available in the library	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
% Response	44.4	46.7	2.5	6.4	0



The books prescribed/ listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate

The books prescribed/ listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
% Response	34.1	63.6	0	0	2.3




Head of Department
Information Science & Engg
ATRIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Anandanagar, Bangalore - 24


Principal
Atria Institute of Technology
Anandanagar, Bengaluru-24